

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/783,091 | MURPHY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Nghia M. Doan | 2825 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowance

 (1) Nghia M. Doan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Kelly Hyndman (Reg. No. 39,234).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2006
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

6, 39, and 72

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner determined the set of claimed submitted on 09/06/2006 have a 35 U.S.C 101 non-statutory issued, which is an abstract idea of listing process step, but without disclosed the physical transformation or tangible result in the claimed invention as particularly of the independent claims 6, 39, and 72. Applicant Representative agreed to amend claims to solve 35 U.S.C 101 non-statutory issued and Supplemental Amendment is submitted on 09/22/2006.